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Introduction

In this bibliography I have tried to bring together and build on the work of others. In particular I have included material from (i) the bibliographies prepared by R. H. Johnson and J. A. Blair for the three International Symposia on Informal Logic, (ii) Johnson and Blair (1980a), and (iii) Johnson and Blair (1985b). I have also incorporated material from (iv) Schmidt (1986b) and (v) Jensen (1989). I thank these authors for permission to borrow from their work.

Current research in informal logic is informed by work in argumentation theory, rhetoric, speech communication, and critical thinking. I have included entries from these fields which I think will be of interest to informal logicians. In adding to the earlier bibliographies I have followed the journals which take an interest in these matters and tried to keep abreast of new publications. It has been possible to verify by direct examination only about 85% of the entries.

The bibliography contains well over 900 entries. Not all of them have been indexed. Many of the entries touch on a variety of topics of interest to informal logicians but no entry has been indexed more than once. The index, like the bibliography itself, is therefore not claimed to be exhaustive. However, it is hoped that the index will prove useful for those with specific interests.

Informal Logic expects to publish an update to this bibliography in a future volume. We welcome corrections and suggestions.

I have had considerable help with this project. Michael F. Schmidt corrected several errors in an earlier version; J. Anthony Blair helped with the verification and the index as well as providing invaluable advice; Robert C. Pinto gave expert assistance with the computer programme. I am grateful for the help so freely given.

In the interest of saving space the following abbreviations are used.

Arg. = Argumentation
IL = Informal Logic
ILN = Informal Logic Newsletter
JAFA = Journal of the American Forensic Association
P&R = Philosophy and Rhetoric
TP = Teaching Philosophy
Q. = Quarterly    J. = Journal
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